

CITY OF ALLENTOWN

Bank Reconciliation Review For the month end date: 04/30/2023

Date of Report: August 29, 2023

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the monthly bank reconciliation review is to verify that bank transactions are complete, timely and accurately reported on the City of Allentown's accounting records for financial reporting purposes. It is also to determine whether the bank reconciliation prepared, and their corresponding schedules comply with the requirements of the policies, guidelines, and procedure outlined in the AIMS and to identify any areas for improvement.

PROCEDURES

A review of all the bank reconciliations prepared for the period tested including a review of the supporting schedules, outstanding items, and their corresponding documentation.

of bank reconciliations prepared: 32

\$ Amount of the bank reconciliations reviewed for the period: \$159,273,348.26.

AGING OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES

ISSUE #	ISSUE	MONTH ISSUE FIRST APPEARED ON A BANK RECONCILIATION	MONTHS OUTSTANDING AS OPEN ISSUE ON THE BANK RECONCILIATION AUDIT REPORT	ORIGINAL AUDIT REPORT DATE
1	Checks Issued Not in General Ledger	02/2023	0	08/29/2023
2	ACH Posted to the Incorrect Bank	02/2023	0	08/29/2023
3	Unreconciled Difference on the Bank Reconciliation	04/2023	0	08/29/2023
4	Checks Not Voided on ARP	04/2019	36	08/16/2019
5	Checks Issued Not on ARP	02/2023	1	06/15/2023

FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION'S RESPONSES

NEW ISSUES

1. Checks Issued Not in General Ledger

We identified 11 (eleven) checks totaling **\$888,138.13** issued out of AP but not posted in the General Ledger. The checks were for Community and Economic Development (CED).

Recommendation

The GL correction should be made.

Administration's Response

From CED: These checks were voided and were provided to the treasury team. Since they were voided no adjustment will be made to the GL.

From Treasury: We believe this was possibly an Eden glitch. These checks were somehow printed and issued with no record in Eden. When this was discovered, the checks were re-issued with new check numbers.

2. ACH Posted to the Incorrect Bank

We identified 1 (one) ACH totaling **\$96,308.80** paid from Central Treasury Bank Account which should have been paid from the AP bank account.

The book entries were from AP but should have been from Central Treasury Bank.

Recommendation

The GL correction should be made.

Administration's Response

The GL has been corrected on May's cash sub.

3. Unreconciled Difference on the Bank Reconciliation

The Accounts Payable bank reconciliation has an unreconciled difference of **\$2,676.02**. It is unknown why there is a difference.

Recommendation

Administration should revisit the reconciliation and the difference should continue to be researched and if not reconciled within a reasonable time, the difference should be adjusted on the books.

Administration's Response

We are continuing to research and if it is not reconciled in May, we will adjust.

Changes to Previously Reported Issues (Last Report Date)

4. Checks Not Voided on ARP

We identified:

- 23 (twenty-three) checks totaling \$3,175,143.10 voided on the AP Cash Sub and EDEN but not on the ARP.

Original Issue (Original Report Date 08/16/19)

We identified 3 checks totaling \$32,657.55 voided on the AP Cash Sub and EDEN but not on the ARP.

Recommendation

To provide a proper bank audit trail, voids should be posted on the Bank's ARP on a timely basis.

Administration's Response

We agree and will put a process in place to correct this. These have been corrected but won't appear on the ARP until February.

5. Checks Issued Not on ARP

For some bank accounts, the outstanding check listings are maintained by the bank. They are referred to as the ARP.

8 (eight) checks totaling **\$22,123.83** were identified on the AP bank reconciliation as not posting to the bank's ARP.

If the errors are not communicated to the bank the ARP will not list the checks as outstanding on the ARP.

Recommendation

Bank Errors should be communicated to the bank on a timely basis to insure they are corrected, and that the ARP is a valid reflection of outstanding checks.

Administration's Response

There was a situation in February where a check run file on 2/24 did not upload correctly to the bank's ARP. Once we realized this error, communication was initiated with the bank to resolve.